| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|--|--|--------------|
| | 09/909,699 | NEE ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Brian D. Nguyen | 2661 | |
| All Participants: | Status of Application | n: | - |
| (1) <u>Brian D. Nguyen</u> . | (3) | | |
| (2) <u>Bradley P. Williams</u> . | (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: 29 June 2005 | Time: | | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | applicant's representative) | | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | • | | |
| Claims discussed: 1-4 and 8-15 Prior art documents discussed: | | | |
| Part II. | • | | • |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE CAs set forth in the examiner's amendment. | GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT | WAS DISCUSSED: | |
| Part III. | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation not result in resolution of all issues. A brief su | on. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance of | written summary of the sub of the interview, since the in | stance |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Market 11/1/1 6/30/05 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (App | olicant/Applicant's Representat | ve Signature – if appropriate | _ ≘) |